



Yoğun bakım ünitelerinde DAS uygulamaları

COVID-19 negatif ve pozitif hastaların yattığı YBÜ'de fark var mı?

Dr. Güven ÇELEBİ

Zonguldak Bülent Ecevit Üniversitesi, Tıp Fakültesi

Enfeksiyon Hastalıkları ve Klinik Mikrobiyoloji AD

guvencelebi@yahoo.com

25 Mayıs 2022, Antalya

Cross-sectional **point prevalence survey** to study the environmental contamination of nosocomial pathogens in intensive care units under real-life conditions

I. Wille^{a,b}, A. Mayr^{a,b,*}, P. Kreidl^a, C. Brühwasser^{a,b}, G. Hinterberger^a,
A. Fritz^{a,b}, W. Posch^a, S. Fuchs^a, A. Obwegeser^c, D. Orth-Höller^a,
C. Lass-Flörl^{a,b}

Table Number	Sağlık personellerinin elleri	Hastadan uzak yüzeyler	Hastaya yakın yüzeyler	Prevalence of contamination in intensive care units (N=523)
	HCWs' hands	Surfaces distant from patients	Surfaces close to patients	
A	3/10 (30%)	0/22 (0%)	6/25 (24.0%)	9/57 (15.8%)
B	2/9 (22.2%)	4/19 (21.1%)	5/48 (10.4%)	11/76 (14.5%)
C	2/10 (20%)	2/26 (7.7%)	7/49 (14.3%)	11/85 (12.9%)
D	1/9 (11.1%)	2/24 (8.2%)	7/45 (15.6%)	10/78 (12.8%)
E	0/5 (0%)	4/22 (18.2%)	3/30 (10%)	7/57 (12.3%)
F	1/10 (10%)	0/11 (0%)	4/31 (12.9%)	5/52 (9.6%)
G	0/3 (0%)	2/14 (14.3%)	0/20 (0%)	2/37 (5.4%)
H	1/10 (10%)	0/16 (0%)	1/55 (1.8%)	2/81 (2.5%)
Total	10/66 (15.2%)	14/154 (9.1%)	33/303 (10.9%)	57/523 (10.9%)

Hastane - Enfeksiyon Kaynađı

Hastane enfeksiyonlarının çođunluđu;

Hastanın **endojen florasından köken** almaktadır.

Bir kısmı (**%20-40**) **sađlık personelinin elleri veya tıbbi ekipman** aracılıđıyla hastaya bulaştırılan mikroorganizmalar nedeniyle oluşmaktadır.


Hastanedeki **çevresel yüzeyler** bu mikroorganizmalar ile kontamine olurlar ve enfeksiyon kaynađı olarak rol oynarlar.


American Journal of Infection Control 44 (2016) e69-e76

Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis (2015) 34:1–11

Mikroorganizma	Kuru yüzeylerde canlı kalma süresi
<i>Acinetobacter spp.</i>	3 gün - 5 ay
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	6 saat – 16 ay
VRE	5 gün – 4 ay
MRSA	7 gün – 7 ay
<i>E. coli</i>	1,5 saat – 16 ay
<i>Klebsiella spp</i>	2 saat – 30 ay
<i>M. tuberculosis</i>	1 gün – 4 ay
<i>C. difficile</i> sporları	5 ay
<i>Salmonella typhi</i>	10 gün – 4.2 yıl
<i>Sigella spp.</i>	2 gün – 5 ay
<i>Haemophilus influenza</i>	12 gün

Mikroorganizma	Kuru yüzeylerde canlı kalma süresi
Adenovirus	7 gün – 3 ay
İnfluenza virus	1-2 gün
Norovirus	8 saat – 7 gün





Hastanelerde çevresel yüzeylerdeki mikroorganizmaları yok etmek/azaltmak için **temizlik** ve **dezenfeksiyon** yöntemleri uygulanır.



Standart yöntem
“manüel temizlik” ve “manüel dezenfeksiyon” dur.



Tanım

- **Temizlik**

- **Su ve deterjan** kullanarak silme/yıkama
- Kirlerin mekanik olarak ortamdaki uzaklaştırılması
 - *Bu işlem sırasında mikroorganizmalar da mekanik olarak uzaklaştırılır*

- **Dezenfeksiyon**

- Temizlik işlemine ilave olarak; bir dezenfeksiyon yöntemi (ısı, UV, kimyasal vb.) kullanarak ortamdaki mikroorganizmaların öldürülmesi ve sayısının azaltılması

Tanım

- **Temiz yüzey**

- Yüzeyde görünür kir yok. Temiz görünüyor.
 - *Temiz görünmekle birlikte yüzeyde çok sayıda mikroorganizma bulunabilir !!!*

- **Hijyenik yüzey**

- Temiz yüzeyde; mikroorganizma olmaması veya “az sayıda” (*kabul edilebilir bir sayının altında*) olması

- Hijyenik yüzey için **TEMİZLİK (+/-) DEZENFEKSİYON**

Riskli Yüzeyler (Ellerin sık temas ettiği yüzeyler)

- Bazı çevresel yüzeyler mikroorganizma transferinde daha fazla rol oynar.

- **ELLERİN sık TEMAS ettiği yüzeyler**


- Monitör düğmesi
- Klavyeler
- Kapı kolları
- Elektrik düğmeleri
- Hasta yatak başı
- Serum askısı vb.

Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis (2015) 34:1–11




- Bazı alanlar (üniteler) enfeksiyon gelişimi açısından daha fazla risk taşırlar

- Ameliyathane
- Yoğun bakım ünitesi
- Transplantasyon ünitesi
- Diyaliz ünitesi
- Vb.



Hangi dezenfektan?

Mikroorganizmaların dezenfektanlara direnci



Tıbbi aletlerin sınıflaması




Yüzey dezenfektanları

Etken	Avantaj	Dezavantaj
Alkol	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Bakterisidal, tüberkülosidal, fungisidal, virusidal•Hızlı etkili•Kullanımı kolay•Yüzeyde rezidü kalmaz	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Sporisidal DEĞİL•Organik materyal etkinliği azaltır.•Yanıcıdır (geniş yüzeyler için uygun değil)•EPA onayı yok
Klor	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Bakterisidal, tüberkülosidal, fungisidal, virusidal, SPOROSİDAL•Hızlı etkili•Yüzeyde rezidü kalmaz•Biyofilm oluşumunu engeller•Ucuz•EPA onayı var	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Göz ve solunum yolu için iritan (yüksek konsantrasyonlarda)•Metal için koroziv (500 ppm üzerinde)•Organik materyal etkinliği azaltır.•Asit veya amonyak ile karıştırıldığında toksik klorin gazı oluşur
Quarterner amonyum bileşikleri	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Bakterisidal, fungisidal, virusidal (zaflı virüsler)• Yüzeyler ile geçimli• EPA onayı var.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Sporisidal DEĞİL,•Genellikle tüberkülosidal DEĞİL,•zarfız virüslere etkili DEĞİL•Organik madde etkinliğini azaltır.•Ast

Yüzey dezenfektanları

Etken	Avantaj	Dezavantaj
Hidrojen peroksit	Bakterisidal, virusidal, tüberkülosidal (5 dakika) Koroziv değil Çalışanlar için güvenli Çevreye zararsız Organik materyalden etkilenmez EPA onayı var	Maliyet daha yüksek
İyot	• Bakterisidal, virusidal, tüberkülosidal	• Sporisidal DEĞİL • Fungisid etki için temas süresi uzun •Slikon kateterlere koroziv
Fenol	Bakterisidal, tüberkülosidal, fungisidal, virusidal EPA onayı var	• Sporisidal DEĞİL •Doku için toksik •Ciltte depigmentasyon •Çocuklarda hiperbilirubinemi

**Pandemiden
Önce**




**Pandemiden
Sonra**

Concise Communication

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) nucleic acid contamination of surfaces on a coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) ward and intensive care unit

Sarah N. Redmond BS¹, Khalid M. Dousa MD^{1,2}, Lucas D. Jones BS³, Daniel F. Li BS^{1,4}, Jennifer L. Cadnum BS⁴, Maria E. Navas MD⁵, Nataliya M. Kachaluba MLS (ASCP)⁵, Sandra Y. Silva MD⁶, Trina F. Zabarsky MSN, RN⁷, Elizabeth C. Eckstein RN⁷, Gary W. Procop MD⁸ and Curtis J. Donskey MD^{1,2}

YBÜ'de çevresel yüzeylerin
SARS-CoV-2 ile
kontaminasyonu?





ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

Journal of Infection

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jinf

nal area. HCWs used PPE assessed included gloves, eye protection or face shield and hand sanitizer dispensers; samples were collected after the HCW performed their duties with a COVID-19 patient. Hospital areas were classified into contamination zones, based on the percentage of swabs that were positive in that area/object.



Environmental contamination of SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare premises

Guangming Ye^{a,#}, Hualiang Lin^{b,#}, Song Chen^{c,#}, Shichan Wang^a, Zhikun Zeng^a, Wei Wang^a, Shiyu Zhang^b, Terri Rebmann^d, Yirong Li^a, Zhenvu Pan^e, Zhonghua Yang^c, Ying Wang^f, Fubing Wang^a, Zhengm

**Table 3**

Percentage of Positive Samples of SARS-CoV-2 RNAs for Health Care Workers' Used Personal Protection Equipment.

Personal Protection Equipment (PPE)	Total Number, No.	Positive Number, No. (%)	<i>P</i> value
Total	195	25 (12.8)	<i>P</i> < 0.01
Hand sanitizer Dispensers	59	12 (20.3)	
Gloves	78	12 (15.4)	
Eye Protection or Face Shield	58	1 (1.7)	

Table 1

Percentage of Positive Hospital Environmental Samples for SARS-CoV-2 RNAs

Hospital Function Zone	Total Number, No.	Positive Number, No. (%)	<i>P</i> value
Total	626	85 (13.6)	<i>P</i> < 0.001
Contamination Zone III (High Positive Detection Rate) >18%			
ICU *	69	22 (31.9)	
Obstetric Isolation Ward for NCP †	32	9 (28.1)	
Isolation Ward ‡	56	11 (19.6)	
Contamination Zone II (Middle Positive Detection Rate), 9% - 18%			
Outpatient Lobby	30	5 (16.7)	
Emergency Department	80	10 (12.5)	
Office and Preparation Area of the Isolation Ward for NCP	41	5 (12.2)	
Obstetric Ward	33	4 (12.1)	
Clinical Laboratories	96	11 (11.5)	
Contamination Zone I (Low Positive Detection Rate) <9%			
Fever Clinic	46	3 (6.5)	
CT Examination Room	36	2 (5.6)	
General Ward	55	3 (5.5)	
Contamination Zone 0 (Positive Detection Rate) = 0			
Administration Area	42	0 (0)	
Parking Lot	10	0 (0)	


Table 2

Percentage of Positive Hospital Object Samples for SARS-CoV-2 RNAs.

Hospital Objects	Total Number, No.	Positive Number, No. (%)	<i>P</i> value
Total	431	60 (13.9)	<i>P</i>
Contamination Level II (High Positive Detection Rate) >10%			< 0.05
Self-service printer *	10	2 (20.0)	
Table top/ keyboard	173	29 (16.8)	
Doorknob	75	12 (16.0)	
Telephone	56	7 (12.5)	
Medical equipment †	48	6 (12.5)	
Contamination Level I (Low Positive Detection Rate) <10%			
Public facilities ‡	25	2 (8.0)	
Wall/ floor	18	1 (5.6)	
Others §	26	1 (3.9)	

Çevresel temizlik ve dezenfeksiyon

- **SARS-CoV-2** esas olarak damlacık ve hava yolu ile bulaşır.
- Çevresel yüzeylerin bulaşma açısından rolü sınırlıdır.
- Ancak **kontamine yüzeyler çapraz bulaşma** açısından önemli
- YBÜ de çevresel yüzeyler periyodik olarak temizlenip dezenfekte edilmelidir.





SARS-CoV-2 surveillance in indoor and outdoor size-segregated aerosol samples

Álvaro del Real¹ · Andrea Expósito² · La

SARS-CoV-2 ile enfekte hastanın kaldığı odanın havasında RT-PCR pozitif

Abstract

We aimed to determine the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in indoor and outdoor size-segregated aerosol samples (PM_{10-2.5}, PM_{2.5}). Five outdoor daily samples were collected between November and December 2020 in an urban/industrial area with relatively high PM₁₀ levels (Maliaño, Santander, Spain) by using a PM impactor (air flowrate of 30 L/min). In a non-hospital indoor sampling surveillance context, 8 samples in classrooms and 6 samples in the central library-Paraninfo of the University of Cantabria (UC) were collected between April and June 2021 by using personal PM samplers (air flowrate of 3 L/min). Lastly, 8 samples in the pediatric nasopharyngeal testing room at Liencres Hospital, 6 samples from different single occupancy rooms of positive patients, and 2 samples in clinical areas of the COVID plant of the University Hospital Marqués de Valdecilla (HUMV) were collected between January and May 2021. N1, N2 genes were used to test the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA by RT-qPCR. SARS-CoV-2 positive detection was only obtained from one fine fraction (PM_{2.5}) sample, corresponding to one occupancy room, where a patient with positive PCR and cough was present. Negative results found in other sampling areas such as the pediatric nasopharyngeal testing rooms should be interpreted in terms of air sampling volume limitation and good ventilation.

Detection and isolation of airborne SARS-CoV-2 in a hospital setting

Nuno Rufino de Sousa¹  | Laura Steponaviciute¹ | Lucille Karolina Nissen² | Midori Kjellin²  | Björn Reinius³ | Eri Klas I. Udekwu⁴ | Antonio Gigliotti Rothfuchs¹ 

Abstract

Transmission mechanisms for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) are incompletely understood. In particular, aerosol transmission remains unclear, with viral detection in air and demonstration of its infection potential being actively investigated. To this end, we employed a novel electrostatic collector to sample air from rooms occupied by COVID-19 patients in a major Swedish hospital. Electrostatic air sampling in conjunction with extraction-free, reverse-transcriptase detection of SARS-CoV-2 in air from 0/22; 45%). Detection with hidden the surface of exhaust ventilation from the COVID-19 patient. SARS-CoV-2 particles from room air, with a total of 496 plaque-forming units (PFUs) being isolated, establishing the presence of infectious, airborne SARS-CoV-2 in rooms occupied by COVID-19 patients. Our results support circulation of SARS-CoV-2 via aerosols and urge the revision of existing infection control frameworks to include airborne transmission.

- Çok sayıda hava örneğinde SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR pozitif
- Havadan SARS-CoV-2 izolasyonu (hücre kültürü) pozitif




TABLE 4 Detection of SARS-CoV-2 by PCR and plaque assay

Detection	Patient room	Anteroom	Patient room and adjoining anteroom
hid-RT-PCR	9/22 (41%)	10/22 (45%)	6/11 (54%)
PFU recovery	3/9 (33%)	8/10 (80%)	3/6 (50%)


Note: Summary of the detection of SARS-CoV-2 in the air in the sampled patient rooms and anterooms by PCR and their respective PFU recovery. Percentages (%) given.

**Odanın
saatlik hava deęişim sayısı 12'den az !!!**

COVID-19 patient rooms, and in adjoining anterooms in the infectious disease ward of a major Swedish hospital. **Importantly, our study is the first to recover PFUs of SARS-CoV-2 from air.** SARS-CoV-2 RNA and active virus particles were detected at more than 2 meters from the patient, the only occupant and productive reservoir of virus in the room. Cumulatively, our results provide support

immunocompromised patients. **Unfortunately, the air filtration in the investigated patient rooms was well below the recommended 12 air-changes-per-hour.** This probably increased our ability to cul-

- SARS-CoV-2 esas olarak **damlacık yoluyla** bulaşmakla birlikte aerolize olan solunum sekresyonları nedeniyle **HAVA YOLUYLA da** bulaşmaktadır
- YBÜ ünitelerinde **solunum sekresyonu aerolizasyonu** oluşturan çok sayıda işlem uygulanmaktadır.
- YBÜ de **çalışan personel** SARS-COV-2 bulaşı açısından yüksek risk altındadır.
- YBÜ de **yatan hastalar** nozokomiyal SARS-CoV-2 bulaşı açısından risk altındadırlar




WHO CREATES THE INFECTIONS IN HEALTH CARE ???


Pathogen Clouding from Healthcare Workers



Mikroorganizmalar havadaki partikülüler vasıtasıyla taşınır







Klasik konfor klimasında parametreler;

- Sıcaklık ve nemdir.

Temiz oda klimasında;

- Sıcaklık
 - Nem
 - Canlı ve cansız partiküller
 - Hava akım hızı ve yönleri,
 - Ortam basıncı
- gibi parametrelerin kontrolü gerekmektedir.

VIII. Tesisat Mühendisliği Kongresi

Temiz oda

Uluslararası kabul edilen temizlik standartlarına göre;


- Toz,
- Partikül,
- Atık anestezi gaz
- Kötü koku gibi cansız parçacıklardan
- Mikroorganizma gibi canlı parçacıklardan korunmak üzere;

sıcaklık, nem, basınç, gürültü seviyesi ve hava hareketi
belirli standartlar içerisinde olan hijyenik ortamdır

Temiz oda standardı: **ISO 14644-1:1999(E)**

*(Cleanrooms and associated controlled environments Part 1:
Classification of air cleanliness)*





HEPA Filtre




HEPA filtre (High Efficiency Particulate Air Filter)
(Yüksek Verimlilikte Partikül Tutucu Hava Filtresi)

- **0,3 mikrondan** büyük partiküllerin **%85'den fazlasını** havadan arındırabilen filtrelerdir.
- Partikülleri **%99,97** oranında tutabilen filtrelere **True Hepa (Gerçek Hepa)** filtre denir.
- Partikülleri **%99,99** oranında tutabilen filtrelere ise **ULPA** filtre denir.

HEPA filtrelerinin etkinliği “dioctyl phthalate” (DOP) testi ile değerlendirilir.

DOP testinde filtrenin **0,3 μ** büyüklüğündeki partikülleri tutması test edilir.





— 150 Microns _ Average Human Hair



— 25 Microns _ Lint, Particles Visible to the Naked Eye



— 10 Microns _ Heavy Dust, Lint, Fertilizer, Pollen



— 5 - 10 Microns _ Average Dust, Plant Spores, Mold



— 1 - 5 Microns _ Bacteria, Light Dust, Animal Dander



— 0.3 - 1 Microns _ Bacteria, Tobacco and Cooking Smoke, Metallic Fumes



— 0.001-0.01 Microns _ Viruses



Mikroorganizmalar havada kendi başına hareket etmez. Havada dolaşan partiküller üzerinde bulunur

<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/community/ventilation.html>

Hastanelerde niçin özel tasarlanmış havalandırma sistemleri gerekli?

Bir çok çalışmada; bazı bakterilerin **hava yoluyla da taşınabileceği** ve enfeksiyon gelişimi için **potansiyel risk oluştuğu** gösterilmiştir.

- Yanık ünitelerinde MRSA
- YBÜ ünitesinde acinetobacter
- Clostridium difficile sporları
- Lejyonella
- Aspergillus

Hastanelerde havada bulunan mikroorganizmalar;


- **Akciğer enfeksiyonu** (Özellikle bağışıklık sistemi baskılanmış hastalarda fungal pnömoniler)
- **Cerrahi alan enfeksiyonu (CAİ)** gibi enfeksiyonlara neden olabilir.

Damlacık yoluyla (droplet-borne) bulaşanlar


- Boğmaca
- İnfluenza
- *S aureus*
- *N. meningitis*
- **SARS-CoV-2**

Hava yoluyla (air-borne) bulaşanlar

- Tüberküloz
- Suçiçeği
- Norovirüs
- Aspergillus
- **SARS-CoV-2**



Resim 2. Hava hilal bulgusu (ayça bulgusu). A. Geç dönem invaziv aspergillus nodülü (ok). B. Romatoid artritli olguda sol alt lobda kaviter nekrobiyotik nodüllerden birinde hava hilal bulgusu (ok).



Hastanelerde niçin özel tasarlanmış havalandırma sistemleri gerekli?

Hastanede kritik alanlarda havanın partiküllerden «büyük ölçüde» arındırılmış olması hedeflenir.

Havalandırma sistemleri bu esasa göre kurulur.

Anestezi ve toksik gazların ortamdaki uzaklaştırılması

Isı ve nem ile ortam konforunun artırılması

Hastadan **sağlık personeline** hava yoluyla mikroorganizmalar bulaşabilir (Tbc.vb)

Bu hastalara bakım veren **sağlık personelinin korunması**

Hasta ve personel açısından güvenli ve konforlu bir iklimlendirme sistemi



Pozitif Basıncılı Oda (Koruyucu Ortam)

Özellikle **invaziv fungal enfeksiyon** gelişme riski yüksek olan hastaların bulunduğu ortamlar için

Allojenik kemik iliği alıcıları için hazırlanan odalardaki havalandırma sisteminde;

$\geq 0,3$ mikron büyüklüğündeki partikülleri filtre edebilen HEPA filtreleri bulunmalıdır

Hasta odası ile oda dışındaki alanlar arasında **$>2,5$ Pa'lık bir basınç farkı** bulunmalı

Saatte ≥ 12 hava değişimi sağlanmalıdır.

Filtre edilen havanın akım yönü **hastadan koridora doğru** olmalıdır (temiz→kirli).

Negatif Basıncılı Oda

Hava yoluyla bulaşan bir enfeksiyon hastalığı olan hastaların negatif basınçlı odada yatırılması gerekir.

- Tüberküloz
- Kızamık
- Suçiçeği
- SARS

Bu amaçla oluşturulacak izolasyon odalarında hastanın bulunduğu bölüm “kirli” olarak kabul edilir. Hasta odasının, oda dışındaki alanlara oranla negatif basınçta tutulması gerekir.

Oda havasının hastane içinde **resirküle olması engellenmeli** ve **hastane dışına verilmelidir**

Eğer odadan çıkan **hava resirküle edilecekse mutlaka HEPA filtreden** geçirilmelidir

Saatte **en az 12 kez hava değişimi** sağlanmalıdır.


Hastanede özel havalandırma sistemi gereken üniteler

	Negatif Basıncılı İzolasyon Odası	Pozitif Basıncılı Oda (Koruyucu Ortam)	YBÜ	Ameliyathane
Oda içi basınç	Negatif	Pozitif	Pozitif, negatif veya nötr	Pozitif
Hava değişimi (saat)	≥ 12	≥ 12	≥ 6	≥ 15
Hava akımının yönü	Temiz→kirli (Hasta kirli tarafta)	Temiz→kirli (Hasta temiz tarafta)	Odanın kullanım amacına göre değişir	Temiz→kirli (Hasta temiz tarafta)
Filtrasyon	%90	%99.97	\geq %90	%90
Resirkülasyon	-	+	+	+

Table 4 Comparison of various standards for HVAC in ICUs across the world

Country	Recommendation society/ association (reference)	Temperature	Relative humidity	Filtration	Pressurization	Air change (outside air/ total) per hour [ACH]	Specific highlights/key differences
Type of ICU—general							
Australia	QHFG [5]	21–24 °C	30–60%	G4–F8	Positive	2/6	<p>Filtration: Standards varied from MERV 7–8 to MERV 15. Few recommend HEPA filters (MERV \geq 17).</p> <p>Pressurization: Positive pressure inside the ICU zone is recommended by Australian, UAE and UK societies, while neutral pressure is recommended in Germany, India, USA and recent UK HTM 2025.</p> <p>Temperature: Wide range varying from 16 to 25 °C.</p> <p>Relative humidity: Majority suggests 30–60% range whereas Indian and German recommendations remain silent.</p> <p>ACH: HTM 2025 (UK) strongly discourages the use of re-circulation type HVAC, presumably to avoid recirculation of airborne pathogens.</p> <p>Air distribution pattern: There exists no specific recommendation of air distribution pattern</p>
Germany	VDI [6]	–	–	F9	Neutral	–	
India	ISCCM [7, 8]	16–25 °C	–	99% efficiency till 5 μ m	Neutral	2/6	
UAE	DHA [9]	21–24 °C	30–60%	HEPA	Positive	2/6	
UK	DHF [10, 11]	18–25 °C	–	F7	Positive ^a	10 (total) ^b	
UK	HTM 2025 [12]	20–22 °C	40–60%	–	Neutral	100% FA	
USA	AIA [13]	21–24 °C	30–60%	–	Neutral	2/6	
USA	ASHRAE [14]	21–24 °C	30–60%	–	Neutral	2/6	
Type of ICU—burn							
Australia	QHFG [5]	21–32 °C	30–95%	G4–F8	Positive	3/6	<p>Filtration: Australian recommendations suggest filtration similar to general ICUs whereas the USA recommends HEPA filtration of incoming air.</p>
USA	ASHRAE [14]	–	40–60%	HEPA	Positive	3/6	

**Pandemiden
Önce**



**Pandemiden
Sonra**

Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) and HVAC system

With the recent pandemic of coronavirus (COVID-19), the importance of HVAC system in infection control is further highlighted by various interim guidelines (updated till 20 March 2020) [47–51] (Table 5). CDC recommends to isolate patients with suspected COVID-19 in airborne infection isolation rooms (AIIRs) with a minimum ACR of 6 per hour

(12 ACR for new construction or renovation), along with the use of HEPA filtration of the incoming air, if re-circulated [47]. World Health Organization (WHO) recommends COVID-19 patients to be isolated in an adequately ventilated negative pressure rooms with a minimum of 12 ACH, especially if aerosol-generating procedures are planned [48, 49].

COVID-19 Pandemisi ve Değişen Öneriler

- Hava değişim sayısı
- Hepafiltre

Table 5 Leading organizations/societies recommendations for HVAC system in the management of COVID-19 patients [updated till 20 March 2020]

	Name of the organization/society (reference)			
	CDC [47]	WHO [48, 49]	ESICM/SCCM [50]	ECDC [51]
Pressurization	Negative	Negative	Negative	No mention
Temperature	No mention	No mention	No mention	No mention
Relative humidity	No mention	No mention	No mention	No mention
Air change (outside air/total) per hour (ACH)	Minimum of 6, while 12 in new construction or renovation	At least 12	At least 12	No mention
Filtration	HEPA filtration if re-circulated	No mention	HEPA filtration if re-circulated	No mention
Air distribution pattern	Appropriate directionality	Controlled direction of airflow	No mention	No mention
Special comments	–	–	–	Increase frequency of cleaning and maintenance of HVAC systems should be considered

WHO World Health Organization, CDC Center for Disease Control and Prevention, ESICM European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, SCCM Society of Critical Care Medicine, ECDC European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, HEPA high-efficiency particulate air filter, COVID-19 coronavirus disease 2019

Architectural design strategies for infectious (IPC) in health-care facilities: towards curbing

Udomiaye Emmanuel¹  · Eze Desy Osondu¹ · Kalu Cheche Kali

Table 1 The decay of droplet nuclei concentration for different ventilation rates and duration of time in a room. Hua et al. [30]

Time (min)	Ventilation rate (%)			
	6 ACH	12 ACH	18 ACH	24 ACH
0	100	100	100	100
5	60.7	36.8	22.37	13.5
10	36.8	13.5	5.0	1.8
15	22.3	5.00	1.1	0.3
20	13.5	1.8	0.3	0.03
25	8.2	0.7	0.06	0.00
30	5.0	0.3	0.01	0
40	1.8	0.03	0	0
50	0.7	0	0	0
60	0.3	0	0	0



Natural ventilation for reducing airborne infection in hospitals

Hua Qian^{a,b,*}, Yuguo Li^b, W.H. Seto^c, Patricia Ching^c, W.H. Ching^b, H.Q. Sun^b

A B S T R A C T

High ventilation rate is shown to be effective for reducing cross-infection risk of airborne diseases in hospitals and isolation rooms. Natural ventilation can deliver much higher ventilation rate than mechanical ventilation in an energy-efficient manner. This paper reports a field measurement of naturally ventilated hospital wards in Hong Kong and presents a possibility of using natural ventilation for infection control in hospital wards. Our measurements showed that natural ventilation could achieve high ventilation rates especially when both the windows and the doors were open in a ward. The highest ventilation rate recorded in our study was 69.0 ACH. The airflow pattern and the airflow direction were found to be unstable in some measurements with large openings. Mechanical fans were installed in a ward window to create a negative pressure difference. Measurements showed that the negative pressure difference was negligible with large openings but the overall airflow was controlled in the expected direction. When all the openings were closed and the exhaust fans were turned on, a reasonable negative pressure was created although the air temperature was uncontrolled.

The high ventilation rate provided by natural ventilation can reduce cross-infection of airborne diseases, and thus it is recommended for consideration of use in appropriate hospital wards for infection control. Our results also demonstrated a possibility of converting an existing ward using natural ventilation to a temporary isolation room through installing mechanical exhaust fans.





Natural ventilation for reducing airborne


Hua Qian^{a,b,*}, Yuguo Li^b, W.H. Seto^c, Patricia Chin

Fig. 2. Comparison of the measured ventilation rate at Hospital GH and Outpatient Clinic SYP when all the fans were switched off.


Natural Ventilation for Infection Control in Health-Care



Table 2.1

Summary of advantages and disadvantages of different types of ventilation systems for hospitals

	Mechanical ventilation	Natural ventilation	Hybrid (mixed-mode) ventilation
Advantages	<p>Suitable for all climates and weather with air-conditioning as climate dictates</p> <p>More controlled and comfortable environment</p> <p>Smaller range of control of environment by occupants</p>	<p><u>Suitable for warm and temperate climates</u> — moderately useful with natural ventilation possible 50% of the time</p> <p>Lower capital, operational and maintenance costs for simple natural ventilation</p> <p>Capable of achieving high ventilation rate</p> <p>Large range of control of environment by occupants</p>	<p>Suitable for most climates and weather</p> <p>Energy-saving</p> <p>More flexible</p>



Kapalı alanlarda **saatlik hava deęişim sayısının arttırılması** SARS-CoV-2 bulaş riskini azaltabilir.

ünitenin yapısına baęlı olarak **doęal havalandırma** veya **mekanik iklimlendirme sistemi** kullanılabilir

Infection prevention and control and preparedness for COVID-19 in healthcare settings

Sixth update – 9 February 2021

Ventilation plays a key role for the prevention of respiratory infections in healthcare settings [67]. The minimum number of air exchanges per hour, in accordance with the applicable hospital regulations, should be ensured at all times. **Increasing the number of air exchanges per hour will reduce the risk of transmission in closed spaces. This may be achieved by means of natural or mechanical ventilation, depending on the setting.** Air recirculation without filtration should be avoided as much as possible;

Cleaning and disinfection of environmental surfaces in the context of COVID-19

Interim guidance

15 May 2020





ECDC TECHNICAL REPORT

Disinfection of environments in healthcare and non-healthcare settings potentially contaminated with SARS-CoV-2

March 2020

Evidence of environmental persistence

Recent publications have evaluated the survival of SARS-CoV-2 on different surfaces. According to van Doremalen et al., the environmental stability of SARS-CoV-2 is up to three hours in the air post-aerosolisation, up to four hours on copper, up to 24 hours on cardboard and up to two to three days on plastic and stainless steel, albeit with significantly decreased titres [3]. These findings are comparable with results obtained for environmental stability of SARS-CoV-1. These findings resulted from experiments in a controlled environment and should be interpreted with caution in the real-life environment.


SARS-CoV-2 Çevresel ortama dayanıklılık	Süre
• Aerolize partikül içinde	3 saat
• Bakır yüzeyde	4 saat
• Karton	24 saat
• Plastik yüzey	2-3 gün

Cleaning options for healthcare settings after the management of a suspected or confirmed case of COVID-19

- Healthcare setting areas (patient room suspected or confirmed case of COVID-19)
 - Rooms where aerosol generating procedures (intubation, administration of nebulized medications) were performed. Provide fresh air for 1–3 hours, if they are able to do so, before admitting new patient(s).
 - In buildings where windows do not open, use mechanical ventilation (HVAC) to provide fresh air.

SAR-CoV-2 ile «enfekte/şüpheli» hasta odası

- Çevresel temizlik işlemine başlamadan önce oda «yeterince» havalandırılmalıdır.



- Portable hava temizleme cihazları «istisnai durumlarda» bir seçenek olabilir

- Deterjan içeren bezler ile çevresel temizlik

- Dezenfektan içeren bezler ile dezenfeksiyon

Table 1. Cleaning options for different settings.

	Healthcare setting
Surfaces	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Neutral detergent AND• Virucidal disinfectant OR• 0.05% sodium hypochlorite OR• 70% ethanol <p>[S]</p>
Toilets	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Virucidal disinfectant OR• 0.1% sodium hypochlorite <p>[S]</p>
Textiles	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Hot-water cycle (90°C) AND• regular laundry detergent• alternative: lower temperature cycle + bleach or other laundry products <p>[S]</p>
Cleaning equipment	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Single-use disposable OR• Non-disposable disinfected with:<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Virucidal disinfectant OR• 0.1% sodium hypochlorite <p>[S]</p>

S: Suggested, O: Optional.

PPE for cleaning staff

- Surgical mask
- Disposable long-sleeved water-resistant gown
- Gloves
- FFP2 or 3 when cleaning facilities where AGP have been performed

[S]

Waste management

- Infectious clinical waste category B (UN3291)

[S]

Effectiveness of various cleaning strategies in acute and long-term care facilities during novel corona virus 2019 disease pandemic-related staff shortages

Emil Lesho ^{1*}, Donna
Jennifer Gutowski¹, St
Robert Mayo¹

Farklı temizlik stratejilerinin etkinliği? SARS-CoV-2

Implications

Previous reports have shown time spent cleaning by hospital employed environmental services staff did not correlate with cleaning thoroughness. **However, time spent cleaning by a commercial remediation company in this study was associated with cleaning effectiveness.** These findings may be useful for optimizing allocation of cleaning resources during staffing shortages.




Fig 4. Effect of chemical type and cleaning time on percent of surfaces detected.

Original Article

Feasibility of ultraviolet light-emitting diode irradiation robot for terminal decontamination of coronavirus disease

2019 (COVID-19) in patient rooms

Abstract

Hee Kyeon
Man-Seon

¹Division of
Institute for

Objective: To investigate the feasibility of ultraviolet light-emitting diode (UV LED) irradiation robot for terminal decontamination of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in patient rooms.

Methods: We assessed the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in environmental surfaces of patient rooms after patient discharge.

Results: We analyzed 216 environmental samples from 36 acute intensive care unit (ICU) and 15 from isolation rooms in the community treatment center (CTC).

SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected in 40 (18.5%) of 216 samples after patient discharge: 12 (33.3%) of 36 samples from AIIRs in the ICU, and 28 (15.6%) of 180 samples from isolation rooms in the CTC. In 1 AIIR, all samples were PCR negative after UV LED irradiation. In the CTC rooms, 14 (8.6%) of the 163 samples were PCR positive after UV LED irradiation. However, viable virus was not recovered from the culture of any of the PCR-positive samples.

Conclusions: Although no viable virus was recovered, SARS-CoV-2 RNA was detected on various environmental surfaces. The use of a UV LED disinfection robot was effective in spacious areas such as an ICU, but its effects varied in small spaces like CTC rooms. These findings suggest that the UV LED robot may need enough space to disinfect rooms without recontamination by machine wheels or insufficient disinfection by shadowing.

- UV öncesi PCR pozitiflik oranı %18.5

- UV sonrası PCR pozitiflik oranı

- Eşya yoğunluğu fazla odalarda %8.6

Rapid SARS-CoV-2 Inactivation in a Simulated Hospital Room Using a Mobile and Autonomous Robot Emitting Ultraviolet-C Light

Cristina Lorca-Oró,¹ Jordi Vila,^{2,3} Patricia Pleguezuelos,¹ Júlia Vergara-Alert,¹ Jordi Rodon,¹ Natàlia Majó,^{1,4} Sergio López,¹ Joaquim Segalés,^{1,4} Francesc Saldaña-Buesa,⁵ Maria Visa-Boladeras,⁶ Andreu Veà-Baró,^{2,5} Josep Maria Campistol,^{2,3} and Xavier Abad¹

¹Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ²Hospital Clínic, University of Barcelona, August Pi i Sunyer Biomedical Research Institute, Barcelona, Spain, ³Institute for Global Health (ISGlobal) - Hospital Clínic, Barcelona, Spain, ⁴Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ⁵CovidWarriors, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain, ⁶Centre de Recerca en Sanitat Animal, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, Campus de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain




Table 1. SARS-CoV-2 Titration Results Expressed as TCID₅₀/mL

Sample Location			Reduction Range, %
UV-C robot working time 20 min			
Wall 1, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 2, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 3, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 4, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
On the floor			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Under the edge of a table			67.64 to 98.41
UV-C robot working time 12 min			
Wall 1, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 2, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 3, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 4, at 100 cm height			≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
On the floor	1.14	2.27 to 3.14	99.47 to 99.93
Under the edge of a table	2.58	0.84 to 1.69	85.54 to 98.00
UV-C robot working time 5 min			
Wall 1, at 100 cm height	2.27	1.22 to ≥3.74	94.11 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 2, at 100 cm height	≤0.45	≥3.04 to ≥3.74	≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 3, at 100 cm height	≤0.45	≥3.04 to ≥3.74	≥ 99.91 to ≥ 99.99
Wall 4, at 100 cm height	0.45	3.04 to ≥3.74	99.91 to ≥ 99.99
On the floor	2.20	1.59 to 1.69	97.43 to 98.00
Under the edge of a table	3.37	0.44 to 0.51	63.70 to 69.80

- Işık huzmeleri doğrusal yayılır.
- Sadece UV gören yüzeylere etkili !

- Organik madde varlığında etkinlik?

- UV öncesi temizlik gereksinimi?

Infection control in the intensive care unit: expert consensus statements for SARS-CoV-2 using a Delphi method



Prashant Nasa, Elie Azoulay, Arunaloke Chakrabarti, Jigeeshu V Divatia, Ravi Jain, Camilla Rodrigues, Victor D Rosenthal, Waleed Alhazzani, Yaseen M Arabi, Jan Bakker, Matteo Bassetti, Jan De Waele, George Dimopoulos, Bin Du, Sharon Einav, Laura Evans, Simon Finfer, Claude Gu erin, Naomi E Hammond, Samir Jaber, Ruth M Kleinpell, Younsuck Koh, Marin Kollef, Mitchell M Levy, Flavia R Machado, Jordi Mancebo, Ignacio Martin-Loeches, Mervyn Mer, Michael S Niederman, Paolo Pelosi, Anders Perner, John V Peter, Jason Phua, Lise Piquilloud, Mathias W Pletz, Andrew Rhodes, Marcus J Schultz, Mervyn Singer, J an-Fran ois Timsit, Balasubramanian Venkatesh, Jean-Louis Vincent, Tobias Welte, Sheila N Myatra

- Konsens s raporu hazırlama  ekirdek komitesi (8 kiři,  ok uluslu)
- 22  lkeden 35 uzmandan g r ş alınmıř
 - 32'si  niversite hastanesinde,
 - enfeksiyon kontrol programında deneyimli
- Nisan 2021 de konu bařlıkları g nderilmıř ve g r řleri alınmıř

COVID-19 olguları hasta odalarına nasıl yerleştirilmeli?

Agree

Design and engineering

Patients with suspected or confirmed COVID-19 in ICUs should be separated from patients without COVID-19 to reduce the cross transmission of SARS-CoV-2	91.0%
AGPs for patients with COVID-19 should preferably be performed in AIIRs	97.1%
Telemedicine ICU or remote monitoring can be used for patients with COVID-19 to reduce the cross-transmission risk to health-care workers by limiting avoidable patient contact	100%

Which of the following patient placement method in ICU is acceptable for patients with COVID-19?

Only in AIIRs	35.3%
AIIRs only for AGPs, otherwise in a single room with a closed door	44.1%
Preferably in AIIRs, otherwise grouping patients with standard distance	82.4%
No separation of patients with COVID-19 and patients without COVID-19 (use of standard and droplet precautions only)	0%

How many fresh air changes per hour are required in COVID-19 ICUs to reduce cross transmission of SARS-CoV-2? ..

<6 h

COVID-19 YBÜ - saatlik hava değişim sayısı kaç olmalıdır?

0%

≥6 h

100%

Air changes per hour is not important

0%

COVID-19 YBÜ - dizaynı nasıl olmalıdır?

Which of the following ICU designs are required for managing patients with COVID-19? ..

Separate entry and exit for ICUs

38.2%

Separate area for PPE donning and doffing

70.6%

Separate area for isolation of suspected patients

91.2%

Physical barriers between patients and health-care workers

32.4%

Hava yoluyla bulaşan enfeksiyonlar için izolasyon odası standardı ne olmalıdır?

Which of the following design standards are optimal for AIIRs?

..

Negative pressure

97.1%

Air outlets to the outside of the hospital premises

55.9%

Use of a high efficiency particulate air filter with the air outlet

38.2%

Use of a high efficiency particulate air filter with both the air inlet and outlet

14.7%

Optimal fresh air changes per hour

82.4%

No recirculation

11.8%

COVID-19 YBÜ – çalışma şifleri nasıl olmalıdır

Health-care workers and visitors

What is the optimal number of shift hours for health-care workers working in COVID-19 ICUs? ..

< 6 h 0%

6-12 h 100%

>12 h 0%

Cannot comment 0%

Health-care workers (nursing staff) managing patients with COVID-19 should not manage patients without COVID-19 during the same shift 91.2%



AŞISIZ sağlık personeli SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR ile ne sıklıkta taranmalıdır

How frequently should non-vaccinated health-care workers be screened for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR to reduce cross transmission in health care? ..

Once every 14 days	0%
Once every week	11.8%
Screening in case of unprotected exposure to a patient with COVID-19 or if symptomatic	94.1%
Cannot comment	0%

How frequently should vaccinated health-care workers be screened for SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR to reduce cross


AŞILI sağlık personeli SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR ile ne sıklıkta taranmalıdır

Once every 14 days	0%
Once every week	2.9%
Screening in case of unprotected exposure to patients with COVID-19 or if symptomatic	100%
Cannot comment	0%

COVID-19 YBÜ – hava yoluyla bulaşı önlemek için hangi önlemler?

The following measures might be considered in ICUs to prevent aerosol transmission of SARS-CoV-2 ..

Ventilatory circuit with viral filters for non-invasive or invasive mechanical ventilation	88.2%
Closed suction system	100%
Video laryngoscopy instead of conventional laryngoscopy for intubation	94.1%
Intubation boxes	32.4%
Helmet continuous positive airway pressure	58.8%
AllRs	91.2%
Increasing outdoor air ventilation rates (opening windows of ICUs)	52.9%



COVID-19 YBÜ – trakeal entübasyon esnasında hangi önlemler?

The following measures are recommended to reduce aerosol transmission during tracheal intubation ..

Adequate PPE (gloves, a gown, an N95 mask or equivalent, and goggles or a face shield)	100%
Intubation boxes	14.7%
Video laryngoscope	88.2%
Experienced intubator (airway operator)	94.1%

COVID-19 YBÜ – trakeastomi zamanlaması?

When should tracheostomy be considered to facilitate weaning from invasive mechanical ventilation and to reduce the risk of cross transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to health-care workers? ..

Early (<10 days of ventilation)	5.9%
Delayed (≥ 10 days of ventilation)	14.7%
Same timing as in patients without COVID-19	94.1%

COVID-19 YBÜ – trakeastomi tekniği?

Which of the following technique of performing tracheostomy is preferred in patients with COVID-19-related acute respiratory failure? ..

Surgical tracheostomy in the operation theatre	17.6%
Surgical tracheostomy at the bed side	14.7%
Percutaneous tracheostomy with or without bronchoscopy	100%

COVID-19 YBÜ – hastadan hastaya geçerken el hijyeni?

How should hand hygiene be practiced between patients with COVID-19?	..
Wear a double pair of gloves and replace outer gloves between patients, and with hand hygiene	17.6%
Remove gloves, followed by hand hygiene, and wear a fresh pair of gloves	88.2%
Use a hand rub on the gloves between patients	2.9%

COVID-19 YBÜ – izolasyonun sonlandırılması?

When can you stop transmission-based precautions for a patient in ICU with severe COVID-19?	..
20 days from the onset of symptoms (with substantial resolution of symptoms) or 10 days from the onset of symptoms with two negative RT-PCR tests (acceptable depending on the resources)	82.4%
20 days from the onset of symptoms (with substantial resolution of symptoms) and two negative RT-PCR tests	23.5%

COVID-19 YBÜ – Pandemi döneminde YBÜ’de SHİE sürveyansını kim yürütmeli?

Which team members should be physically present in ICUs for monitoring and surveillance of infection control practices in patients with COVID-19? ..

Intensivist

91.2%

Infection preventionist (eg, infection control nurse, doctor, or clinical microbiologist)

61.8%

Infectious disease specialist

8.8%

ICU nurse

85.3%

COVID-19 YBÜ – Atık yönetimi nasıl yapılmalı?

Waste segregation and management for patients with COVID-19 should be similar to the waste for any other infectious disease

94.1%

COVID-19 YBÜ – N95 maske «tasarruf amacıyla» nasıl kullanılmalı?

Disinfection and sterilisation

What are the most suitable strategies for optimising the supply of N95 masks during shortages?	..
Reuse of N95 masks every 5th day	2.9%
Use of reusable elastomeric respirators	8.8%
Resterilisation of N95 masks with vaporised hydrogen peroxide (plasma steriliser) or ultraviolet irradiation	11.8%
Extended use of N95 masks during the complete shift	91.2%
Use of surgical face masks	5.9%
Cannot comment	2.9%

COVID-19 YBÜ – Hasta taburcu olduktan sonra odanın temizlik/dezenfeksiyonu nasıl yapılmalı?

Which methods of terminal cleaning in ICUs are acceptable (after discharge of patients with COVID-19)?

..

Use of sodium hypochlorite-based surface cleaning

94.1%

Ultra-violet irradiation after surface cleaning

8.8%

Vaporised hydrogen peroxide after surface cleaning

20.6%

COVID-19 YBÜ – Hangi dezenfektan?

What methods of surface cleaning and disinfection are acceptable when a patient with COVID-19 is present in the cubicle?

..

Surface cleaning with diluted sodium hypochlorite

94.1%

Surface cleaning with 70% alcohol

55.9%

Surface cleaning with quaternary ammonium compounds

11.8%

COVID-19 YBÜ – Isıya duyarlı (otoklavlanamayan) yarı kritik aletler nasıl dezenfekte edilmeli?

Which of these agents are acceptable for disinfection of (reusable heat sensitive or non-autoclavable) instruments used for airway management (eg, video laryngoscopes) of patients with COVID-19?*

Plasma sterilisation

55.9%

Glutaraldehyde (Cidex)

67.6%

Para-acetic acid

5.9%

Alcohol wipes (70%)

79.4%

Teşekkürler